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Abstract

The increasingly heterogeneous and hierarchical nature of computer architectures demands that algorithms, programming models, and tools must mirror these characteristics if they are to thrive in this environment. In particular, the current generation of SPMD codes are unlikely to survive the transition to exascale without a fundamental redesign that avoids traditional bulk synchronous parallelism. We are developing a UQ-driven adaptive physics refinement scale-bridging strategy for modeling materials at extreme mechanical and irradiation conditions, in which coarse-scale simulations spawn sub-scale direct numerical simulations as needed. This task-based MPMD approach leverages the extensive concurrency and heterogeneity expected at exascale, while enabling novel data models, power management, and fault tolerance strategies within applications. The programming models and runtime task/resource management and data sharing systems required to support such an approach would also enable in situ visualization and analysis, thus alleviating much of the I/O burden.
Outline

• Why can’t we keep doing things the way we’ve always done?
  – Case study: molecular dynamics in the massively parallel era: from the Thinking Machines CM-5 and Cray T3D to IBM BlueGene/L
  – The (heterogeneous) revolution is now: IBM Roadrunner

• Exascale Co-design Center for Materials in Extreme Environments
  – Adaptive physics refinement – sounds good, but what the hell is it?
    » Is a task-based materials modeling approach really viable?
    » Adaptive sampling demonstrations
  – Prospects for co-design, and why exascale is:
    a) Scary
    b) Difficult
    c) Exciting
    d) All of the above
Scalable Parallel Short-range Molecular dynamics (SPaSM) is a high-performance code for studying collective effects in large systems of interacting “particles” (typically atoms).

- Finite-range \( (r_{\text{max}}) \) interactions \( \Rightarrow O(N) \) computational scaling
- Spatial decomposition on shared and distributed memory architectures
- 1993 IEEE Gordon Bell Performance Prize (50 GFlop/s on CM-5)
- 1998 IEEE Gordon Bell Price / Performance Prize (10 GFlop/s on Linux/Alpha Beowulf cluster, $15/MFlop)
- 2005 IEEE Gordon Bell Prize Finalist (48 TFlop/s on BlueGene/L)
- 2008 IEEE Gordon Bell Prize Finalist (369 TFlop/s on Roadrunner)

Object-oriented scripting language with parallel \textit{in situ} visualization and analysis libraries (runtime “steering”)
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(David Beazley, Peter Lomdahl)
A wide range of applications have been studied with SPaSM: 1993-2010 covers
Current trends will increase the *length*, but not *time*, scales accessible by molecular dynamics simulation.

Clock speeds and bandwidths will not increase substantially, so the *timescale* challenge is going to become increasingly critical.

Source: DOE Exascale Initiative Technical Roadmap
As system sizes increase, atomistic resolution is necessary in a diminishing volume fraction

# of atoms (computational effort) scales with volume, while features of interest often scale with area.

Examples include:

• Interface instabilities
  – Example: 7.4 billion atom Rayleigh-Taylor (Jan `06 BlueGene/L)

• Shock fronts

• Phase boundaries
  – Product phase nucleation and growth within a parent phase
Void nucleation and growth leading to ductile spall failure in a shocked copper bicrystal

Lattice defects (dislocations)

Surface atoms (voids)
Preparing for exascale: issues to confront

- Computer architectures are becoming increasingly **heterogeneous** and **hierarchical**, with greatly increased flop/byte ratios.
- The algorithms, programming models, and tools that will thrive in this environment must mirror these characteristics.
- SPMD bulk synchronous (10^9-way) parallelism will no longer be viable.
- Power, energy, and heat dissipation are increasingly important.
- Traditional global checkpoint/restart is becoming impractical.
  - *Local flash memory?*
- Fault tolerance and resilience
  - Recovering from soft and hard errors, and anticipating faults
  - MPI/application ability to drop or replace nodes
  - The curse of silent errors
- Analysis and visualization
  - *In situ, e.g. “active storage” using I/O nodes?*
Computational co-design at Los Alamos, circa 1950
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Exascale computing will transform computational materials science by enabling the pervasive embedding of microscopic behavior into meso- and macroscale materials simulation. To achieve this, our Center has a focused effort in 4 areas:

• Scale-bridging algorithms
• Proxy applications
• Hierarchical programming models
• Holistic analysis and optimization

A tightly coupled co-design loop will optimize algorithms and architectures for performance, memory and data movement, power, and resiliency.

Our objective is to establish the interrelationship between algorithms, system software, and hardware required to develop a multiphysics exascale simulation framework for modeling materials subjected to extreme mechanical and radiation environments.
Mission drivers

A predictive understanding of the response of materials to extreme conditions (mechanical and/or irradiation) underpins many DOE programs, including:

- NNSA Advanced Simulation and Computing
- NNSA Enhanced Surveillance Campaign
- NIF – National Ignition Facility (LLNL)
- MaRIE – Matter-Radiation Interactions in Extremes (LANL)
- Nuclear Energy Hub
  » CASL – Consortium for Advanced Simulation of Light Water Reactors (ORNL)
- BES Energy Frontier Research Centers
  » CMIME – Center for Materials at Irradiation and Mechanical Extremes (LANL)
  » CPD – Center for Defect Physics (ORNL)
  » CMSNF – Center for Materials Science of Nuclear Fuel (INL)
Co-design as an Agile Development Cycle

- Hardware requirements and software constraints are continuously released to the exascale community.
- The performance, power, price, and resiliency (P³R) trade-off is analyzed to create the optimal design for hardware, software and applications needed for the exascale simulation environment.

All elements of the agile development cycle operate concurrently.
Embedded Scale-Bridging Algorithms

• Our goal is to introduce more detailed physics into computational materials science applications in a way which escapes the traditional synchronous SPMD paradigm and exploits the heterogeneity expected in exascale hardware.

• To achieve this, we are developing a UQ-driven *adaptive physics refinement* approach.

• Coarse-scale simulations dynamically spawn tightly coupled and self-consistent fine-scale simulations as needed.

• This *task-based* approach naturally maps to exascale heterogeneity, concurrency, and resiliency issues.
Embedded Scale-Bridging Algorithms

• Scale-bridging algorithms require a consistent two-way algorithmic coupling between temporally evolving distinct spatial levels; they are not "modeling", and not one-way information flow.

• Our focus is on coupling between macro (coarse-scale model) and meso (fine-scale model) scales with all unit physics being deterministic.

• We begin by building off of our adaptive sampling success, but move to the use of temporally evolving mesoscale and spatial adaption.

• Similar concepts apply in the time domain, e.g. using *ab initio* techniques to compute activation energies for a rate theory or kinetic Monte Carlo model (“on-the-fly kMC”) applied to radiation damage modeling.
Adaptive sampling techniques have been successfully demonstrated by LLNL

- A coarse-scale model (e.g. FEM) calls a lower length-scale model (e.g. polycrystal plasticity) and stores the response obtained for a given microstructure, each time this model is interrogated.
- A microstructure-response database is thus populated.
- The fine-scale workload varies dramatically over the coarse-scale spatial and temporal domain.
- Dynamic workload balancing in a task parallel context.
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SUMMARY

Simulations based on multi-scale material models enabled by adaptive sampling have demonstrated speedup factors exceeding an order of magnitude. The use of these methods in parallel computing is hampered by dynamic load imbalance, with load imbalance measurably reducing the achieved speedup. Here we discuss these issues in the context of task parallelism, showing results achieved to date and discussing possibilities for further improvement. In some cases, the task parallelism methods employed to date are able to restore much of the potential wall-clock speedup. The specific application highlighted here focuses on the connection between microstructure and material performance using a polycrystal plasticity-based multi-scale method. However, the parallel load balancing issues are germane to a broad class of multi-scale problems. Copyright © 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
Agile Proxy Application Development

- Petascale single-scale SPMD and scale-bridging MPMD proxy apps will be used to explore algorithm and programming model design space with domain experts, hardware architects and system software developers.
- These proxy applications will not be "toy models", but will realistically encapsulate the workload, data flow and mathematical algorithms of the full applications.
Agile Proxy Application Development

• Proxy apps for single-scale SPMD applications (e.g. molecular dynamics) will be used to assess node-level issues including:
  – *Data structures*
  – *Hierarchical memory storage and access*
  – *Power management strategies*
  – *Node-level performance*

• The asynchronous task-based MPMD scale-bridging proxy apps will be used to optimize:
  – *System-level data movement*
  – *Resilience (fault management)*
  – *Load balancing techniques*
  – *Performance scalability*

• These proxy apps are **not** static entities, but the central mechanism for our co-design process.
Proxy application suite (single-scale)

• First-Principles Molecular Dynamics (MD): Qbox
  – Dense linear algebra and spectral transform operations
  – 2006 Gordon Bell Prize (2005 finalist)
• Tight-Binding MD: LATTE
• Classical MD (Pair-like potentials): SPaSM
  – Particle-based, spatial (linked-cell) domain decomposition
  – In situ visualization demonstrated to 1 trillion atoms on BlueGene/L
• Classical Molecular Dynamics (Many-body potentials): ddcMD
  – Particle-based, particle domain decomposition
  – Soft error recovery demonstrated to CPU-millenium on BlueGene/L
• Phase Field Method: AMPE/GL
• Polycrystal plasticity: VP-FFT
Hierarchical Programming Models

• The challenge for programming models in the context of this project is that they need to expose hardware capabilities to the application programmer while at the same time hiding the continuous flux and complexity of the underlying hardware.

• A hierarchy of programming models exposes and exploits the heterogeneity while providing a transparent layer of abstraction that insulates the application programmer from the flux and complexity of the underlying hardware.

• The programming models and approaches developed to achieve our scale-bridging materials application will be broadly applicable to a variety of multiscale, multiphysics applications:
  - Astrophysics & the structure of the universe
  - Climate and weather prediction
  - Nuclear reactor simulation
  - Structural engineering
  - Plasma physics
  - Radiation hydrodynamics
Hierarchical Programming Models

• This hierarchy will replace the traditional bulk synchronous parallel paradigm:
  - *On-node task parallelism* will allow us to couple multiple tightly coupled application components or segments while exploiting on-node resources to their full extent.
  - *Inter-node cooperative parallelism* will provide the necessary capabilities to execute scalable, dynamically structured MPMD applications.
  - *Domain specific languages* aim to encapsulate these levels, enable programmer productivity, and bridge disparate architectures.
Holistic Analysis and Optimization

- A hierarchy of performance models, simulators, and emulators are used to explore algorithm, programming model, and hardware design space before the application is fully constructed.
  - ASPEN: Rapid exploration of design space using application skeletons
  - SST: Detailed simulation of data flow, performance and energy/power cost
  - GREMLIN: Emulation layer to mimic exascale complexity by injecting faults, OS jitter, and other noise to “stress test” the application/SW stack
Summary

- Our objective is to establish the interrelationship between algorithms, system software, and hardware required to develop a multiphysics exascale simulation framework for modeling materials subjected to extreme mechanical and radiation environments.

- This effort is focused in four areas:
  - **Scale-bridging algorithms**
    » UQ-driven adaptive physics refinement
  - **Programming models**
    » Task-based MPMD approaches to leverage concurrency and heterogeneity at exascale while enabling fault tolerance
  - **Proxy applications**
    » Communicate the application workload to the hardware architects and system software developers, and used in performance models/simulators/emulators
  - **Co-design analysis and optimization**
    » Optimization of algorithms and architectures for performance, memory and data movement, power, and resiliency