The Manticore Approach to Parallelism

John Reppy

University of Chicago

October 14, 2009
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The hardware environment is heterogeneous and in flux:

- Microprocessors have become multiprocessors.
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  - Heterogeneous processors (Cell and GPU)
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The Manticore project

The Manticore project is motivated by the need for parallelism in commodity applications.

- Need high-level constructs to hide hardware details
- Support for heterogeneous applications
- Opportunity for functional programming (again)
- Challenge: efficient implementation on a range of hardware platforms.

NOTE: we have purposefully avoided HPC as an application area!
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The Manticore project

The Manticore project is motivated by the need for parallelism in **commodity** applications.

- Need high-level constructs to hide hardware details
- Support for heterogeneous applications
- Opportunity for functional programming (again)
- Challenge: efficient implementation on a range of hardware platforms.

**NOTE:** we have purposefully avoided HPC as an application area!
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Language design

Our initial design is purposefully conservative. It can be summarized as the combination of three distinct sub-languages:

▶ A mutation-free subset of SML (no refs or arrays, but includes exceptions).
▶ Language mechanisms for implicitly-threaded parallel programming.
▶ Language mechanisms for explicitly-threaded parallel programming (a.k.a. concurrent programming) based on message passing (not MPI).

The focus of this talk will be on the implicitly-threaded mechanisms.
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Manticore provides several light-weight syntactic forms for introducing parallel computation.

These forms are *declarative* and are treated as hints by the system.

- Parallel arrays provide fine-grain data-parallel computations over sequences.
- Parallel tuples provide a basic fork-join parallel computation.
- Parallel bindings provide data-flow parallelism with cancelation of unused subcomputations.
- Parallel case provides non-deterministic speculative parallelism.
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Parallel arrays

We support fine-grained nested-data-parallel (NDP) computation using a parallel array comprehension form (NESL/Nepal/DPH):

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mid \ exp \mid \ \text{pat}_i \ \text{in} \ \text{exp}_i \ \text{where} \ \text{pred} \mid
\end{array}
\]

For example, the parallel point-wise summing of two arrays:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mid x+y \mid \ x \ \text{in} \ xs, \ y \ \text{in} \ ys \mid
\end{array}
\]

\textbf{NOTE:} zip semantics, not Cartesian-product semantics.

This construct can be mapped onto SIMD type hardware (GPUs).
Nested data parallelism (*continued ...*)

Mandelbrot set computation:

```ocaml
fun x i = x0 + dx * Float.fromInt i;
fun y j = y0 - dy * Float.fromInt j;
fun loop (cnt, re, im) = 
  if (cnt < 255) andalso (re*re + im*im > 4.0) 
    then loop(cnt+1, re*re - re*im + re, 2.0*re*im + im) 
  else cnt;

[| loop(0, x i, y j) | i in [| 0..N |]] |
  | j in [| 0..N |] |
[|]
```
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Irregular parallelism

```plaintext
type sparse_matrix = (int * float) parray parray

fun sparseDotP (sv, v) = sumP [\( x \times v!i \mid (i, x) \in sv \)]

fun smxv (sm, v) = [\( \text{sparseDotP}(row, v) \mid \text{row} \in sm \)]
```
Parallel tuples

Parallel tuples provide fork-join parallelism. For example, consider summing the leaves of a binary tree.

```
datatype tree = LF of long | ND of tree * tree

fun treeAdd (LF n) = n
 | treeAdd (ND(t1, t2)) =
   (op +) (| treeAdd t1, treeAdd t2 |)
```
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Parallel bindings

Parallel bindings provide more flexibility than parallel tuples. For example, consider computing the product of the leaves of a binary tree.

```haskell
fun treeMul (LF n) = n
| treeMul (ND(t1, t2)) = let
  pval b = treeMul t2
  val a = treeMul t1
  in
  if (a = 0) then 0 else a*b
  end
```

**NOTE:** the computation of \( b \) is speculative.
Parallel bindings (continued ...)
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Parallel case

Parallel case supports speculative parallelism when we want the quickest answer (e.g., search problems). For example, consider picking a leaf of the tree:

```ml
fun treePick (LF n) = n
| treePick (ND(t1, t2)) = (pcase treePick t1 & treePick t2
  of ? & n => n
  | n & ? => n)
```

There is some similarity with join patterns.
Parallel case (continued ...)

![Diagram of parallel case]
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Parallel case (continued ...)

Symmetric version of \texttt{treeMul}.

\begin{verbatim}
fun treeMul (LF n) = n
    | treeMul (ND(t1, t2)) = (pcase treeMul t1 & treeMul t2
                             of ? & 0 => 0
                             | 0 & ? => 0
                             | a & b => a*b)
\end{verbatim}
Discussion

- These mechanisms compose.
  - Value-oriented computation model
  - Use tree-structure (ropes) for `parray` type.
  - Futures with Cilk-style work stealing plus cancelation
  - Working on size analysis to manage granularity
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▶ The project is about three years old.
▶ We have a prototype implementation for the x86-64 processor (Linux and Mac OS X).
▶ Demonstrated scalable performance on 16-core system (4 quad-core AMD 8380 processors) vs. good sequential implementations.
▶ Sequential performance is okay, but needs improvement.
Status

- The project is about three years old.
- We have a prototype implementation for the x86-64 processor (Linux and Mac OS X).
- Demonstrated scalable performance on 16-core system (4 quad-core AMD 8380 processors) vs. good sequential implementations.
- Sequential performance is okay, but needs improvement.
Status

- The project is about three years old.
- We have a prototype implementation for the x86-64 processor (Linux and Mac OS X).
- Demonstrated scalable performance on 16-core system (4 quad-core AMD 8380 processors) vs. good sequential implementations.
- Sequential performance is okay, but needs improvement.
Conclusion

Status

- The project is about three years old.
- We have a prototype implementation for the x86-64 processor (Linux and Mac OS X).
- Demonstrated scalable performance on 16-core system (4 quad-core AMD 8380 processors) vs. good sequential implementations.
- Sequential performance is okay, but needs improvement.
Future work

- Applications: interactive graphics, computer vision, and medical imaging.
- Mapping NDP constructs onto GPUs.
- Better support for speculative parallelism.
- Support for controlled use of mutation for shared data structures.
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Questions?

http://manticore.cs.uchicago.edu