

Explanations as Defense: Detecting Adversarial Inputs to Machine Learning Models

PI: **Elisabeth (Lissa) Moore, CCS-3** Julia Nakhleh, postbac student, CCS-7 Emma Drobina, summer grad student, A-1 Subhashis Hazarika, postdoc, CCS-3 (former)

9/13/21

LA-UR-21-28972



Motivation & Goal

- Investigate relationship between explanations and adversarial attacks
 - Specifically, focus on concept-based explanations rather than feature attribution
- Intuition: If adversarial attacks are invisible to humans, they should not be changing concepts related to the definition of the true class
- Most recent hypotheses argue that adversarial attacks are just noise
 - i.e., not semantic



Concept-based Explanations

Use meaningful high-level concepts rather than independent feature attribution



Experimental Setup

- Generate adversarial examples for Resnet with Imagenet data:
 - Fast Gradient Sign, Projected Gradient Descent, Carlini-Wagner, Momentum Iterative
- Build concept discrimination models for concepts relating to common adversarial output classes
- Compare concept activations between pre-attack images, attacked images, and true images of the targeted class



Example attacks



True label: Orange Predicted: Orange True label: Orange Predicted: Lemon



Example attacks

Pre-attack Image





Example Attack Directions

50

100

150

200

250 -

300 -

Ó

100

200

300

400









Lemon

Studio couch



Bullet_train





200

300

400

50

100

150

200 -

250 -

300

100





Per-layer Concept Discrimination Models Yellow Orange network activations(network_activations(Circle Spheroid network_activations(network_activations(

Train linear model (SVM with linear kernel) to separate concepts from random images. One linear model trained for each (concept, network layer) pair.



Results - "Yellow" Concept



Results - "Yellow" Concept



Results - "Orange" Concept



Results - "Orange" Concept



Discussion + Future Work

- Results suggest that adversarial attacks on non-robust models may be semantic (and therefore harder to detect)
- Re-run experiments with targeted attacks
 - Untargeted attacks on Imagenet tend to flip to semantically similar classes
- Run similar analysis on more robust models
 - We believe attacks may be less semantic and therefore easier to detect
- Anomaly detection via p-value fusion across network layers and concepts
- Note: Also need for rigorous evaluation and reproducibility of explanations



Explanations as Defense: Detecting Adversarial Inputs to Machine Learning Models



We investigate the relationship between adversarial attacks and explainable machine learning. Concept-based explanation techniques, rather than feature attribution-based techniques, can elucidate aspects of the input data affected by untargeted adversarial attacks.

Project Description

We investigate the relationship between state-of-the-art explainable machine learning techniques and adversarial attacks, particularly with respect to leveraging explanations for defense **Project Outcomes**

- Concept-based explanation techniques can highlight aspects of data affected by attacks
- Untargeted attacks, regardless of type of attack, appear to be <u>more semantically meaningful</u> than previously thought.
- Future work: full characterization of relationship between explanations and attack types.

PI: Elisabeth (Lissa) Moore, CCS-3, lissa@lanl.gov Total Project Budget: \$45k ISTI Focus Area: Computational Integrity

