

# Conduct of Engineering Request for Variance or Alternate Method

To display the VAR Request Metadata pane for this document, click File > Info > Properties > Show Document Panel.

### 1.0 General

1.1 Document Number: VAR-10326	1.2 Revision: 1	
1.3 Brief Descriptive Title: Chapter 21 (Software) activity and form approvals for Safety Basis and Nuclear Criticality Safety Divisions		
1.4 Affected Program: Engineering Standards	1.5 Request Type: Alternate Method	
1.6a Affected Tech Area 99	1.6b Affected Buildings Sitewide	
1.7 Requestor: Sartor, Ray Organization: NCS		
1.8 Revision History   Revision Number Changes and Comments   0 Initial Issue; addressed approval of Form 2033   Revision Number Changes and Comments   1 Expanded to approval of all Chapter 21 forms and activities, including processes and procedures invoked by same.		

# 2.0 Affected Conduct of Engineering Program/Documents

2.1 Affected "P" Document: P342 Engineering Standards	2.2 Subordinate or related document(s) [AP, master spec, LANL ESM chapter & section; or code, Order, standard, etc.]: Document Title/No.: Engineering Standards Manual STD-342-100 Chapter 21 Software
If against the P document itself, revision (or <b>N/A</b> ): N/A	Revision SOFT-GEN r1; SOFT-ACQURE r1; Soft-DESIGN r1; SOFT-V&V r1; SOFT-INV r1; SOFT-MAINT r1; and corresponding forms and processes Document Title/No.: AP-341-703, Commercial Grade Dedication
	Revision 4
	Document Title/No.: Enter text
	Revision Enter text

2.3 Section/Paragraph: Form Section 5.3 (approvals) is typical.

2.4 Specific Requirement(s) as Written in the Document(s): This variance is for all references to FDAR approval of software quality management (SQM) activities and

forms in Chapter 21, including processes and procedures invoked by Chapter 21, e.g., Commercial Grade Dedication.

As one example of form inclusion of FDAR, Section 5.3 of Form 2033 includes:

"As the $\Box$ Facility Design Authority Representative (FDAR) for my representative facilities, as the $\Box$ LANL Design Authority (DA), or, as the $\Box$ Responsible Associate Director (RAD), I have reviewed and approve		
"Note: The RAD is authorized to review and approve Form 2033 (rather than the FDAR or DA) for software, as determined by the FDAR or DA, the FDAR or DA does not have the knowledge and/or a reasonable connection to the software."		
Guidance (backside): "The RAD is authorized to review and approve Form 2033 (rather than the FDAR or DA) for software where, as determined by the FDAR or DA, the FDAR or DA does not have the knowledge or a reasonable connection to the software."		
2.5 Contractual, preference, or other basis for requirement in 2.4:		
Ch 21 FDAR or DA approval is based largely on DOE O 414.1D, Attachment 4, SAFETY SOFTWARE QA REQUIREMENTS FOR NUCLEAR FACILITIES, paragraph 2. A. (1): "Involve the facility design authority, as applicable, in: the identification of; requirements specification; acquisition; design; development; verification and validation (including inspection and testing); configuration management; maintenance; and, retirement." Also, according to the form's IQPA author, part of the logic to have the DA approve was to drive consistency and correctness. However, there are situations where the DA does not have the knowledge or a reasonable connection to the software to approve Form 2033 and other software forms. (As quoted above, the approval of Form 2033 can be delegated to the RAD in this situation.)		
2.6 Type of VAR from ESM Chap 1, Z10 [Applies only to	2.7 Discipline	
standards variances)	Safety Basis	
Type 2	Nuclear Criticality Safety	

## 3.0 Request Information & Comments

3.1 NCR required (work has occurred)? No If Yes, NCR Number: Enter text.	
3.2 System/Component Affected	3.3 Highest ML Level
OpSystem Acronym & Name N/A	
System Number or Name N/A	ML-1

#### 3.4 Proposal with Justification/Compensatory Measures:

For the implementation of Chapter 21 (Software) of the Engineering Standards Manual (STD-342-100) by the Safety Basis Division and the Nuclear Criticality Safety Division, FDAR responsibilities be delegated to the Senior Director of the Nuclear Safety Program. Implementation of Chapter 21 includes all forms referenced by Chapter 21 (e.g., Form 2033, SOFT-GEN forms, etc.) and the commercial grade dedication of software using AP-341-703.

Safety Basis and the Nuclear Criticality Safety are special disciplines, with special software. The LANL FDAR and DA are not knowledgeable about the software for these disciplines and would have difficulty responsibly approving the SQM activities and forms related to this software. The Senior Director of the Nuclear Safety Program has the requisite knowledge of such software's potential nuclear safety role and an interest in ensuring that the inputs for safety analysis calculations have sound SQM pedigree. In this regard, the position is more applicable than the Design Authority (and comparable to that of the former Responsible Associate Director position, now called Responsible Associate Laboratory Director). Therefore, the most appropriate position to approve SQM activities and forms for software in the Safety Basis and the Nuclear Criticality Safety Divisions is the Senior Director of the Nuclear Safety Program (however, since this is an Alternate Method, Facility Design Authority may continue to sign when necessary).

When this VAR is issued and employed, the practice of citing "per VAR-10326 R1" with the approval signature will provide a tie to this authorization but is not mandatory (furthermore, incorporation of this VAR into affected document[s] would render this practice unnecessary).

Enter text				
3.5 Attachments				
Document Title or De	escription None	2		
3.6a Project ID 3.6b: Project Name 3.6c: Code of Record Date		Code of Record Date		
N/A	N/A	_	N/A	
3.7 Duration:		3.8a If Finite Period, Start Date:		3.8b End Date:
Lifetime		Click to enter a date.		Click to enter a date
3.8c Provide the PFITS r	number for track	king removal/correction: [PFITSN	um]	
3.9 USQD/USID required (Nuclear, High/Mod Hazard)? No If Yes, USQD/USID Number Click here to enter text.				
3.10 QA Review for process change matters potentially affecting LANL's NQA-1 implementation Is a QPA Determination required?: No If <b>Yes</b> , then: Choose an item. QPA Comments: Enter text				
3.11 POC Determination	: Accept			
POC Comments: Enter text				
3.12 Management Program Owner's (SMPO) Approval for P341 and APs; P342, ESM, ML-1 and -2, and Contract Matters; and P343				
SMPO Determination: Comments: Enter text.				

#### 4.0 Participant Signatures NOTE: DO NOT ADD NAMES FROM WITHIN WORD! Save and close the form first, then do 1-4 below:

1. From the SharePoint library, select the document, then click the ellipsis (...) in the second column; a small dialog appears

- 2. In the small dialog click the ellipsis again
- 3. Click Edit Properties and check out the document if prompted toEnter names using the controls provided, then Save

4.1 POC (Management Program Owner's Representative):	Organization ES-FE	Signature
Oruch, Tobin H		
4.2 Facility Design Authority Representative	Organization Enter text	Signature
[FDARName]		
FDAR signature not required		

4.3 LANL Owning Manager (FOD or R&D/Program) [FODorPrgmMgrName] FOD or Program Manager signature not required ⊠	Organization Enter text	Signature
4.4 Quality Reviewer's Name:	Organization Enter text.	Signature
[QPAName]		
QPA review/signature not required		
4.5 Safety or Security Management Program Owner's Approval for P341 and APs; P342, ESM and Contract Matters; and P343 Streit, Jim SMPO signature not required (Type 1 variance)	Organization ES-DO	Signature
4.6 Additional Signer 1	Organization	Signature
[AdditionalSigner1]	Enter text.	
Role: Enter text.		
4.7 Additional Signer 2	Organization	Signature
[AdditionalSigner2]	Enter text.	
Role: Enter text.		

4.8 CoE Administrator Signature	Signature
Salazar-Barnes, Christina L	
<u>NOTE</u> : The CoE Admin is always the last signature placed on this document. The date of that signing is the date of this document.	