Which is Easier to Program?

Companion Accelerator Node Many-core Self-hosted Node Multi-core Node

COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE

Systems to be delivered in the next 4-5 years ⊂

Companion accelerator Node sharing memory with host

- Coral systems TB Delivered by IBM/Nvidia
- AMD APU systems

Many-core Node

• Trinity and Cori systems TB Delivered next year

Multi-core Node

- Haswell/Broadwell/Skylake
- ARM

High Level Comparisons

	Companion Accelerator	Many-Core	Multi-Core
Number of threads required	1000s	100s	10s
Number of MPI Tasks/Node	1-4	4-30	4-32
SIMD Length (64-Bit)	8-32	8	4-8
Memory Hierarchy	Virtual/User Controlled??	User Controlled	NUMA
Cache Architecture	Insufficient	Could be an issue	Well understood
Scalar Performance	Very Poor or Host – implies memory movement	Poor	Good

Programming issues with Companion Accelerator

- Must do tremendous amount of threading cannot be MPI
- Must SIMDize more code Can we say Vectorization
- Scalar code on the accelerator is very slow, on the host requires memory movement.
- How does the Memory manager work? Can the user control data movement? Virtual Memory??
- Amount of registers/cache per MIMD processor is too small

Who Said this:



We don't use Virtual Memory, you can't fake what you don't have

Seymour Cray

Memory Management must be user controlled

COMPUTE | STORE | ANALYZE

Programming issues with Many-core System

- Must do threading all MPI will not work well, it will work
- Must not have scalar code in important areas must vectorize as much as possible
- Ideally have parallel, Vectorizable loops
- Managing Memory Hierarchy will be a challenge
- Cache optimization within Memory Hierarchy will be a challenge

Programming issues with Multi-core System

• All MPI will still work –

- The main reason MPI/OpenMP on the Node does not perform well is that the OpenMP is poorly implemented. Most of the implementers do not want it to win.
- Higher level caches become larger while some optimization can be performed, many take what they get
- Vectors become more important



Which is Easier to Program? ANSWER

1) Multi-core Systems; but, you'll pay more for power

2) If you want a performance portable application, none are